The FCC Should Not Approve Any Additional Wireless Technology
Until The Use of Corrupted Science by Product Defense Firms
(Including Those with Roots in Tobacco)
Is Prohibited For Expert Health Testimony,
With A Formal Review Conducted by Independent Experts
With Prosecution for Fraud, and Liability Assigned

## By Patricia Burke, HaltMASmartMeters.org

By focusing on competition and innovation, and not conducting premarket environmental and safety testing, the FCC has placed citizens and the nature environment in danger.

Rather than employing the best independent scientists to address the question of safety of wireless technologies, the US has been relying on the testimony of mercenary lair-for-hire scientists, including Peter Valberg of the product defense firm Gradient, in particular for smart meter deployment. The smart meter debacle serves as a case study in the corruption of the scientific and regulatory process for wireless technology in the US.

When the World Health Organization's International Agency for Research on Cancer discovered that their ranks had been infiltrated by tobacco advocates, house cleaning was implemented to remove scientists with industry ties. It is beyond time for the US to clean ranks and to regulate wireless technology for safety.

As reported by the Center for Public Integrity, Peter Valberg of Gradient has been involved in a number of industries that have caused harm and death. Senators Warren and Markey have called on the PubMed database to divulge funding sources and conflicts of interest to address corruption of the science concerning chemicals. A system of checks and balances is required to insure that similar criminal actions do not dominate the scrutiny of safety of wireless technologies.

The Telecom Utilities Council lied to legislators in 2012 about the safety of smart meters. The FCC, as the agency responsible for regulating the wireless industry, has both allowed and enabled the science to be distorted in favor of industry growth.

Due to massive corruption of the scientific research, extending back to the Telecom Act of 1996, which prioritized economic growth over environmental and health protections, the need for a course correction regarding premarket safety testing by a group other than the FCC is overwhelmingly apparent. The FCC should be requiring that the industry move in the direction of integrity before approving any additional infrastructure, and should be prohibiting rampant human experimentation without knowledge and consent. Because the pro-industry FCC has failed in the regard, another agency must be assigned responsibility to restore integrity to the science.